

Up-close and personal with bears, marten, fisher, and deer



Clay Wilton – Michigan Natural Features Inventory Bill Parsons – Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians Maxwell Field – Little Traverse Bay Bands of Odawa Indians Tyler Petroelje – SUNY ESF Global Wildlife Conservation Center Matthew Lewis – Michigan Aerospace Corporation

27 October 2020

Michigan State University Extension Conservation Stewards Program









Camera trap history

• Shiras, G., July 1906. "*Photographing Wild Game with Flashlight and Camera*", National Geographic, 17(7).

• Chapman, F.M., September 1927. "Who Treads Our Trails?", National Geographic, 52(3), 331-345.







Ahmed et al. 2009



Karanth and Nichols 2011



Camera trap basics & history

Active Infrared Sensors











Camera trap basics & history

Passive Infrared Sensors





Wearn O.R. and P. Glover-Kapfer 2017. Camera-trapping guide for conservation: a guide to best-practices

Why ecologists love camera traps

*1400 pubs as of 2017



Figure 2-1. Annual number of articles listed in the Web of Science mentioning camera traps (or various other synonyms, such as automatic camera, game camera, or remote camera) between 1969 (the first year of reliable records) and 2016. For the period before 2000, a total of just 25 articles were listed, which is fewer than the annual number of publications from 2005 onwards. The figure for 2016 was extrapolated based on the results up to June of that year.

Wearn and Glover-Kapfer 2017. Camera-trapping guide for conservation: a guide to best-practices



Why ecologists love camera traps

Logistical benefits

- Non-invasive
- Passive monitoring
- Long sample period
 - Detect hyper-rare events
- Readily available & inexpensive
- Easy setup/deploy
- Verifiable data & permanence
- Highly repeatable methodology





Why ecologists love camera traps

Research applications for camera traps

- Occupancy (habitat use and distribution)
- Abundance (relative & capture-recapture)
- Behavior (undisturbed)
- Community dynamics (e.g., cooccurrence)
- Biodiversity inventory (esp. rare species)
- And more!



So what's the catch!?

- Cost/benefit of cam traps
 - Large upfront \$\$
- Poor performance in extreme environments
- Limited species detection
 - Large, warmblooded, active and terrestrial animals
- Fraction of area surveyed per camera
- False positives







FRA4



So. Many. Photos.

The camera trap dilemma

Benefit: easy to deploy cameras and collect a lot of data





Problem: storage, organization, data extraction

The camera trap dilemma

Time investment

- Manual annotation time
 - 600 3,000 photos/hour
 - ~166 833 hours of annotating 500,000 photos
 - Identification fatigue and misclassification



D



Big data solutions: Artificial Intelligence

Can we transfer this tech to camera trap data?

Interdisciplinary and Collaborative Approach

- Work directly with partners with expertise in machine learning
- Collaborative approach













18 SEP 2017

12:05:08 (7/9)

Tips

Use the arrow keys $(\leftarrow \rightarrow)$ to switch between images in the current burst. Use **shift** + $(\leftarrow \rightarrow)$ to navigate between camera bursts. Use the up arrow 1 to return to the burst list.

To label image content, first select a target above and then drag on the image to label image content. To delete a label, click on the label to select it, and then press **delete**.

To change a label's target species, select the label and then select a new target above.



ANNOTATION





Continuing/Future Developments

- Continue growing the number of species models can identify
- Age/sex specific models (buck, doe, fawn)
- Behavioral trait models (vigilance, head up/down)



Designing a camera trap study for populations







Up Close & Personal: American black bear

29.50 inHg↓ ℓ 68°F) 08/03/2019 09:13AM CAMERA33



Anishinaabek clan system





Clan System Chart BLM 8.2

Makwa (American black bear) distribution and habitat use in the 1855 LTBB Odawa Indian Reservation







29.20 inHg- 8 48 F 09/05/2019 06:57PM CA

29.44 inHg 1 0 42'F 08/22/2019 07:10PM CAMERA

Study Area





Study Design & Methods







Summary Results

[1] Total number of stations: 63

[1] Total trapping period: 07-22 to 10-23

[1] Mean deployment: 89 days (65-91)

[1] Total number of images: 137,706
- 65% of animals, 35% empty



Summary Results Black Bear

[1] Mean number of observations per site: **1.03**

[1] Sites with at least one detection: **21**

[1] Number of daily detections: **65**



Summary Results – Black Bear



29.44 inHg - 8 69 F 🔵 08/15/2019 05:37 PM CAMERA34





Black Bear Habitat Use











29.29 inHg & 59°F 09/23/2019 03:50AM CAMERA59

Up Close & Personal: American marten & fisher



28.47 inHg↓ & 16°F → 03/03/2020 08:50AM CAMERA112



Anishinaabek clan system





Clan System Chart BLM 8.2

American marten ecology & status



- Inhabit mix of mature hardwoods and conifers.
- Importance of large coarse woody debris and complex vertical/horizontal structure (hunting, denning)

American marten ecology & status





- Extirpated in NLP by 1911
- Reintroduced 1985-86, small and isolated populations.
- Managed as furbearer, but harvest only in UP.





Fisher ecology & status

- Extirpated in NLP by early 1900s, little evidence of population in NLP.
- Managed as furbearer, but harvest only in UP.
- Inhabit mix of mature hardwoods and conifers, and lowland conifer.
- Importance of large coarse woody debris and complex vertical/horizontal structure (hunting, denning)



M TROPHY CAM

69 °F 20 °C ●

07-30-2019 22:10:12



Preliminary Results

- 16 camera sites detected marten
 - 42 total unique detection events
- 0 fisher detected





Management Implications







23

29.03 inHg↓ 🧶 41°F 🌒 04/11/2020 11:19PM CAMERA23

28.82 inHg & 46'F • 04/11/2020 08:55PM CAMERA22

Z9.00 inHg↑ & 32°F ↓ 04/14/2020 04:02PM CAMERA104

29.26 inHg 13°F 02/17/2020 12:13PM CAMERA115

29.00 inHg - 8 11°F 03/30/2020 07:04PM CAMERA107

28.79 inHg | 8 14°F | 04/07/2020 06:39AM CAMERA107

Up Close & Personal: White-tailed deer

29.29 inHg↓ § 77°F (07/18/2020 08:36PM CAMERA15

め



Coming home -- to Garden Island: Mose Anthony, Amos Anthony, John Anthony, Thomas Anthony, Jacob Anthony, two unknown women, and Agatha Cornstalk ("Agutum")

George A

The Elders Speak

Reflections on Native American Culture and Life

Anishinaabek history on the Beaver Islands

- Amikwa (Beaver) tribal group were original inhabitants .
- Deteriorating relationships with Mormons in mid-1800s pushed Native American population to Garden and High Island.
- Forced back to Beaver Island and mainland in the 1930's and 1940's.
- Garden and High Islands included within the 1855 Little Traverse Bay Bands Of Odawa Indians Reservation.

The Cultural Resources of Garden and High Islands in Northern Lake Michigan – Prepared by Wesley L. Andrews for LTBB Natural Resource Dept. - 2012



Anishinaabek clan system



Maang Chieftanship Giigoonh Intellectuals & Binesi Mediators **Spiritual Leaders** Wabizheshi Ajijaak Warriors, Hunters & Providers Anishinaabe Chieftanship Dodem Makwa Wawashkeshi Protectors & Healers Gentle People & Reconciler Seven Generations Education Institute www.Tepretations.org

46°F

07/29/2020

07:43AM

CAMERA32

Clan System Chart BLM 8.2

Beaver Island Archipelago

- ~ 18 miles from mainland.
- Beaver Island is 56 mi² (145 km²)
 - 13 mi long, ~5 mi wide
 - ~600 residents
- Garden Island is 7 mi² (18 km²)
 - ~4.5 mi long, ~2 mi wide













Deer ecology & history on Beaver Island

- Deer not endemic to Beaver Island
 - Introduced 3 bucks and 10 does in 1927.
- 1958 survey estimated ~27 deer per square mile.
- Occur throughout BI, but seasonal N-S migration to winter deer yards.
- Coyote only natural predator





Estimating White-tailed Deer Density from Camera Trap Images

This application allows users to estimate white-tailed deer abundance and density using daily presence/absence data from remote camera sindependent camera sites (i.e., at least 1.6 km apart for white-tailed deer). Occupancy and abundance estimates are calculated using model 'occu', 'occuRN', 'pcount').



©Global Wildlife Conservation Center/Tyler Petroelje/Todd Kautz



Acknowledgments

- Bill Parsons (LTBB Odawa)
- Archie Kiogima Jr. (LTBB Odawa)
- Kevin Haynes (LTBB Odawa)
- Maxwell Field (LTBB Odawa)
- Spencer McCormack (LTBB Odawa)
- Noah Jansen (LTBB Odawa)
- Jennifer Kleitch (Michigan DNR)
- Burr Mitchell (Michigan DNR)
- Little Traverse Conservancy
- Port St. James Association
- Township of St. James
- Township of Peaine & St. James
- CMU Biological Station
- Bill McDonough
- Ryan Fogg
- Pamela Grassmick
- Many Beaver Island landowners
- Courtney Ross



Thank you/miigwech

Clay Wilton Michigan Natural Features Inventory wiltoncl@msu.edu











29.35 inHg & 48'F 10/06/2019 05:52PM CAMERA53



29.32 inHg1 & 57°F 09/09/2019 11:54AM CAMERA